

PowerPoint vs StructureFlow



For Tax & Accounting Work

An at-a-glance comparison for teams diagramming and designing step plans, restructurings, and complex tax structures

Capability		PowerPoint		StructureFlow
Primary purpose		Presentation tool		Structural intelligence diagramming and working tool
Designed for tax & accounting work		No – general presentation software		Yes – built for complex legal, tax & financial structures
Handles multi-step plans		Manual, fragile		Native support for step plans & sequencing
Adapts to late-stage changes		Requires reworking multiple slides		Change once, updates everywhere
Data Integrity		Shapes only; no underlying logic		Entities, ownership, and flows connected and backed by data
Consistency across views		Easy to break		Always aligned by design
Scenario modelling		Duplicate slides to “try” options		Test scenarios in a single living model
Audit & regulatory defensibility		Visual only, no structural integrity		Clear, traceable, defensible structures
Risk & defensibility		Hard to prove consistency		Single source of truth; reduced error risk
Structural logic		Implied in narration		Explicit and encoded in the model
Automation of visuals		No, manual creation only		Yes automation from any file type
Client clarity & confidence		Depends on explanation		Instant structural understanding
Time spent		Heavy manual formatting		Focus on analysis, not redrawing
Export to client-ready slides		Native		Yes – export to PowerPoint when ready

PowerPoint is built to present conclusions.
StructureFlow is built to work through complexity and then present it.