

PowerPoint vs StructureFlow



For Legal Work

An at-a-glance comparison for firms mapping deal structures, step plans, reorganisations and transaction flows.

Capability		PowerPoint		StructureFlow
Designed for legal work	✗	No general presentation software	✓	Yes built for deal structures, entity maps, step plans & transaction flows
Complex group structures	✗	Manual shapes; breaks on every update	✓	Native modelling of entities, ownership & relationships - connected & consistent
Transaction step plans	✗	Slides are static; re-draw for every change	✓	Native support for sequencing - steps update across the model automatically
Adapts to late-stage changes	✗	Requires reworking multiple slides	✓	Change once, updates everywhere
Multi-jurisdiction structures	✗	Manual; prone to inconsistency across slides	✓	Model spans jurisdictions with entity data intact
Scenario modelling	⚠	Duplicate slides to 'try' options	✓	Test structural scenarios in a single living model
Risk & dependency visibility	✗	Implied in narration; easy to miss	✓	Dependencies are explicit - surfaced visually before they become problems
Data integrity	✗	Shapes only; no underlying logic	✓	Entities, ownership and flows backed by structured data
Consistency across views	✗	Easy to break; manual to maintain	✓	Always aligned by design
Automation of visuals	✗	No, manual creation only	✓	Yes automation from any file type
Audit & regulatory defensibility	✗	Visual only, no structural integrity	✓	Clear, traceable, defensible structures
Client clarity & confidence	⚠	Depends on verbal explanation	✓	Clients see the structure, understand the logic, trust the outcome
Time spent	⚠	Heavy manual formatting & redrawing	✓	Focus on analysis, not redrawing
Export to client-ready slides	✓	Native	✓	Yes export to branded PowerPoint when ready

PowerPoint is built to present conclusions.

StructureFlow is built to work through complexity and then present it.

